Preserve

D40

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

192 8.
(Muzrai)

File No. 280-28

Serial Nos. 1 – 10

Later References
Muz Dep re 129 of 29-30

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 10

Part B for June 1929.

SUBJECT

Appeal petition of Kempe Gowda & others re Inam lands of Sri Hanumanthadevaru & Mutharayaswami temples of Honnalgere, Mandya Taluq

Dr. 2790 dated 21. 6. 27

To the Muz. Comr

No reason to interfere with the orders passed by the Muz. Comr

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1928.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 280-26

FILE HEADING.

Leasing out by public auction, the inam lands endowed to Sri Muttaraya and Hanumanta Devaru temples at Honnalgere, Mandya Taluk.

FROM

Auchak Venkatappa

No. Petition Dated 28/1/29. Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No
1142	1.	-	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Appeal against the M.C's order Directing the leasing out of the inam lands endowed to the instns, and pray that they may be heard before orders are passed in the case.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petition is duly stamped. A copy of the M.C's order appealed against, has been produced. The M.C's order is dated 5.1.29 while the appeal petition has received on 28.1.29. It is in time.

NOTES—First Sheet.

D1502 1142

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No

App. F. III—2]

of 192 .

Serial No.

The m. c's order explains the facts of the case.

3 Dft. Calling for report is submt.

AV 1.2.29. 4 (1st Member) 2/2

(MG 2.2.29

2. To, Mur Comr No 1568 dy 9.2.29, calls for report with records on the petn

3. To. Petn in Sl 1. Endt No 1569 dy 9.2. Informs him of the above.

4 From Archak Venkatappa. Petition dy 1.4.29.

Pray for a stay order pending disposal of their appeal as the Taluk authorities are taking steps to dispossess them of the temple in am lands etc.

5 Member's order on the petition:— In what stage is this case. Early The connected file is submtd. The m. c's report called for on the subject is awaited.

From the ongl notice dy 1-3-29, produced with the present petition, it is seen that the Taluk authorities

No. 4635

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

have given one month's time to the petrs who are temple archaks to surrender the lands in their possession to the auction purchasers. The petrs. say that they will be put to heavy losses etc. as described in the petition if the lands are taken away during the pendency of the appeal, & that the trees on these lands will be cut & removed by the auction purchasers, and pray for a stay order.

This appears to be a case where stay of execution is perhaps called for. An order may perhaps issue to the following effect:—

If the petrs are in possession of the temple inam lands, and if they give sufficient security for the highest bid amount now offered, their possession need not be interfered with pending disposal of their appeal by Govt.

Submit for orders.

6071

AY 2.4.29. 9/ (Member) 2/4

2/4

16 Yes ones

11 Sec/r 3(4)29

2/4

Serial No.

12

DFS. Submit

AV

4/4/29. 13 [illegible]

[illegible] 4/4

[illegible] 8/4

4 To The Muz Camr

No 2141 Dt. 5-4-29

Fds. a fela No 1802 from the Sub Archaks together with the original notice Dt 1-3-29 from Mandya Dk office. .. surrendering the temple lands to the auction purchasers &c & if they gave sufficient security for the highest amount now offered their possession need not be interfered with &c calls for a report

5 To Venkatappa Archak.

Thoppanahally village, Mandya

No 2142 Dt 5-4-29

Informs him that the M.C has been addressed that Hastie the appeal has been decided by the Govt that a stay order may be issued to the Arnold ...

Sub (P)

[illegible] 7/4

6 From, the M.C. Letter No. 1343 Dt 11. 4. 1929.

Submits the report with records called for on the subject.

14 The M.C's order appealed against explains the facts of the case

NOTE - Contn. sheet

Serial No.

15

A dft. calling for the inam extract of the temple lands is submtr. for appl.

AD 22.4.29.

[signature] MD 24/4

7 To. The Supdt Rev. Survey No 2384 D/26-4-29.

Requests him to furnish an extract from the detailed Inam Register re the grant of lands measuring 13-57 assessed at Rs 19-4-0 as Inam for the benefit of Sri Kutharaya & Hanumantha Devara temples at Hanumagere Mandya Ltd.

8. From, Kempe Gowda and others.

Petition D 3.5.29.

State that they are highest bidders, and pray that the temple lands may be placed in their possession etc.

16 Member's order on the petition.- Stay order cannot be cancelled. If records have been received, the case may be posted to some near date for hearing.

17 On receipt of the inam extract called for in Sl. 7, orders for posting

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

The case will be obtained
Submit.

7017/8/5

AV
7.5.29 (H. Secy)
hw
7/5

18 h
9/5

Gus (D)

From, The Inam Supdt.
Letter No. 164 Dt 7.5.29.
Submits a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the temple lands in question—

I

19 During the inam settlement, the lands were registered as Devadaya for the benefit of Hanumantha and Muthraya's temples in the Village—

E

20 The M.C's order appealed against explains the facts of the case.

21 The M. Comr. considers it desirable to sell the lease of the inam lands, and has confirmed the sale.—

22 The apllts who are Archaks pray that the lands endowed to the minor instn. may be confirmed to them under standing orders on stipulated conditions and ~~pray~~ that the M.C's order appealed against may be cancelled.

23 The member has ordered that if records have been received, the case may be posted to some

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No.

Serial No.

near date for hearing (vide orders on Sl. 8)
24 May the case be posted to ~~3d June 1929~~ 31st May 1929?
Submitted for orders.
AV
15.5.29
WD
15/5

25 The Mysore's order to sell the ~~land~~ lease of lands which has already fetched a bid for Rs 355 for a year for 5 years seems beneficial to the temple. The Archaks may be paid ~~[illegible]~~ proper remuneration for their services. The lands are temple lands and the temple should get full benefit out of them. The case will be posted to the ~~above~~ date mentioned above.
A
(Sd/- [illegible])
WD
15/5

26 A Yes
[illegible]
19.5.29
WD

OFFICE OF THE FIRST [illegible] OF COUNCIL
7 MAY 1929
No. 7144

Recd 28/5/29

7554
5/6

27 There are No reasons to interfere with the orders passed by the Mur Comm.
The respondents agreed to surrender the lands after 3 years and the M C's order only gives effect to the arrangement accepted by them.
[illegible]
[illegible]

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.	
	28 Mr Submitted 12.6.29 29 June - 16/6/29
10	To [illegible] [illegible] 7/.1-6 29 [illegible]
	[illegible]

B [illegible] 15/7

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE

9

29 MUZRAI

29/1142

ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಮೇಲುಕೋಟೆ - ದಿವಾನ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್ ರಂಗಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರ್ಗ, ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀರಾಮ್ ಎಲ್ಎಲ್ಬಿ ಯವರ್ಗ B.A-BL. III, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯವರ ಮಹಾಜನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ.

28 JAN. 1938
No. M.236

ಮಂಡ್ಯದ ಕಾಲ್ಸಾ ಕೆಂ- ಮದ್ದೂರು ಹೋಬಳಿ- ಹೊನ್ನಲಗೆರೆ ದಾಖಲೆ- ಹೊಂಪ್ಪನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ - ಅಕರ್ಕೆ - ತಿಂಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬಿಳಿದುಂಬಿಗಾಂಡೆ ಅವಿಲುಅಕ್ಕೆ

1. ಹೊನ್ನಲಗೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಹನೆಯ ಮಂಕೆ ದೇವರು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ದಾಖಲೆ ಸಾಮಾಂಯ ಇಂದು ಶ್ರೀಲಿ ಪಾಸೆ ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಂಪ್ಪನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಸಾಮ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಉತ್ತರವಾದ ದೇವರು - ಹೀಲಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಸಾಂಯ ದೇವಾಂಲಿಯವರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

2. ಇದು ಸಲ್ಲದೆ 13 ವರ್ಷದ 37 ಸಾಂಪ್ರತಿ ಪಿಕ್ಷೀಲ್ - Rs. 19-4-- ಅಕ್ಷಾಂಶ ಉಳ್ಳ ಜಮೀನು ಸಲ್ಲು - ಇನಾಮ್ ತಿಯಾಂಗಿ ಕೆಡುಳಿಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಸಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕೆ 1 ವರ್ಷದ 17 ಸಾಂಪ್ರತಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಜಾಂಕಾಲುಇತ್ತು - ಮಾಂ ಜಮೀನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದ್ದಿ ಇ ನಿಲುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಜಾಂಕ ರಾಂಡೆನಾಂಪು ಸಲ್ಲು ಕೆಲ ಕೆಲ ಅಂಕೆಕಡಿಂದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರಾಂಡೆ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಕೆ ರಾಂಗ ಪ್ರಾ ಕ್ಕೆಂಕಾಲು ದೇವ ಕ್ಷಾನಪದ ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರ್ರ ಮಾಂಗಿ ಇ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಉಳ್ಳ ಕ್ತಿ ಉಂಡೆ ನಿಳ್ಳು ಸಲ್ಲುಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ದಿಕ್ಷ್ಯ ಸಲ್ಲುಲಿ ಕೊಂಡಾಂಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನ ಮಾಂ ಮಾಂಯುಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾಂಕ ನಡೆ ಇ ಕ್ಕೆಂಕಾಲು ಬರುತ್ತಲಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

3. ಈ ಇ ಇ ಕೆಲ್ಕ ಕಾಯ್ದೆಸಲ್ಲಂಕ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಕೆಲಾಂಗಿ ನೆಲ್ಲ ಮೇಲೆ ಇ ಲ್ಲ ಪೈಕಾಲಿ ದು ಸಲ್ಲ ನ್ನ ಮಾಂಗಿ ಪಕ್ಕಂದೆಕ್ಕೆ ದಲ್ಲಿ - ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಮೇಲುಕೋಟೆ ಜಾಂಯುಕ್ಕೆ ಮಂಡ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ರವರು - ಕೊಂಡಕೊಂಡು ಸುತ್ತಿ ಇ ಇ ಕ್ಕೆ ರಂಜ ಮೇಂತಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ ಕಾಂ - ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಸಾಂ, ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯಪಟ್ಟಿ, ಈ ಕೆಲ್ಲ ಇ ಕಾಲಾಂಶಿಯವರ್ಗ. ಕಾಲಾಂ ಸಲ್ಲಂಕ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಂಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಸಾಂಗ ಅನಕ್ಕೆ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಸಲ್ಲ ವೆಚಾಮಾಂಗಿ ಇ ಜಮೀನು ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನ ಯಂದಿನಂತೆ ಸಾಂಯಂ ಮಾಂಗಿ ಇ ಕಾಂಕಾಂತ ಕೆಲ ಕೆಂಬುದಾಂಗಿ ಕೀಳಲು ಕ್ಕೆಂಕಾಲು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಕ್ಕಾಗಿ

4. ಈ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಜಾಂಕ ರಾಂಡೆ ನಾಂಪು ಮೇಲೆ ಕೆಲ್ಲ ದಂತೆ, ಹಿಪ್ಪ ಜಾಂಕ ರಾಂಡೆ ; ಅಕ್ಷರ ಕೆ ರಾಂಗ ಉಳ್ಳದೆಂದು. ಮತ್ತು ಇನಾಂ ಮೊಂಟ್ಟ ಉಳ್ಳದೆಂತೆ ಲೋಕದಂತೆ ದೇವಕ್ಕಾನಪದ ಕೆಟ್ಟದೆಸಲ್ಲನ್ನ, ಆಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಯಲ್ಲಾಟ್ಟಗೊಂಡು ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷ ದಿಸಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಪಂದೆಕೆಲುಂಟಾ ಸದ ರೀತಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನ ಮಾಂಗಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತ ಅದ್ದೇವೆ ಈ ದೇವಾಂಲಿಯ ಸಲ್ಲ ಹಿಡ್ಡ ಸಲ್ಲಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನ ಸಾಂ ಮಾಂಗಿ ನಡೆಸಲು ನೆಪ್ಪ - ಕೆಂಪ್ಪಗೌಡರು, ಅಂಬಿಗರ ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ - ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯ - ವೆಂಕಟಾಚಲಯ್ಯ - ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲ ಪ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಇದ ದೇವರ ಕೋಲಾಂದಿ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನ ನಡೆ ಇ ಕ್ಕೆಂಕಾಲು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

5.... ನಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ - ದೊಡ್ಡ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರಾಗಿ - ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ವಿಭಿನ್ನರಾಗಿ ಬಾಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಒಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಸಂಸಾರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ದೆಡೆ ಮೇಲಿನಂತೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸಾರದ ಖರ್ಚುವೆಚ್ಚ ಮತ್ತು ಆದಾಯ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಅಣ್ಣತಮ್ಮಂದಿರಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಆಗಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

6. ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನಾವು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 373 ಮತ್ತು 375 ನೆ. ಹೊಲದ ನಂಬರುಗಳನ್ನಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಸುಕ್ಕಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ನಮ್ಮನೆ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದ - ಕಾಲು ಕಾಲ್ಲಾಂಶವೆ ಅಳತೆಯಾಗದೆಯಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮೇಲೆ - ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಸುಖದುಃಖ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

7.. ಈ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಆಸ್ತಿ ನಾಲ್ಕಾರು ಕೀಲಿ - ಕಾಲುದಲ್ಲ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಲಕ್ಷಣದ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕೆಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು - ನಮ್ಮ ನಮ್ಮ ವಿದುಕ ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡ ಯಾಗಿ ನಿಂತು - ಇಲ್ಲದ್ದು ಪಲ್ಲದ್ದು ಕಿರ್ಚಾದು ಮಾಡಿ - ಆ ಮೇಲೆನ್ನ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಲಿ ಕೆಟ್ಟಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಕರಾರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು - ನಾವು ಯಾರು ಪಾಲುದಾರರನ್ನು ಮಾಡದೆಯಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಕಾಗದ ಬರೆದಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

8. ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾಲ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಇಷ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡು - ಹೀಗೆ ಕ್ರಾಸ್ ಪಲ್ಲ ಕೊಂಡಾದ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು - ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು - ಒಟ್ಟು Rs. 10/- ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಕೊಂಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡುಬಂದ ಬೇಕಂಬುದಾಗಿ - 1925-26ನೆ ಇಸವಿಲಾಂ ಸಂಯುಕ್ತ ಮೂಲ - ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿ - ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿ ನೆ - ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು - ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡಿ - ಹಿಂದುಮುಂದೆ ಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಕೆ - ಇದನ್ನು ಕಚೇರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ.

9. ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಂದೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸೇಲ್ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಂಡಾಗ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಇದರ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರತಿಯು Rs. 15/- ಆಗಿತ್ತು. ಈಗ ನಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ ನೆಕ್ಕಿನಂದ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ Rs. 20/- ಸಲ್ಲು ಆಗಿರುವುದು ನಮ್ಮ ವಿಚಾರದಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ Rs. 35/- ಸಲ್ಲ ಸುಕ್ಕಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಕೆ. ಈ ಸೇಲ್ ಕ್ರಾಸ್ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಲಿ ಕೊಂಡ ಸಲ್ಲಂ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ ಇದ್ದು ಸಲ್ಲ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು - ಅಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಂಡ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಲಿ ಕೆಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಹೇಳಿ - ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪತ್ರ ದಿ. 25.2.1919ರ ಮತ್ತು 2594-602. ನೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಕೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಲಿ ಕೆಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೆ.

10... ಹಾಗೆಂದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೀಲಿದೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷಯ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು - ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೆ ಸೆದುಕೊಂಡು - ಸೇಲ್ ಕ್ರಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಕೆಳಕಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿ - ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಕೆ. ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾಲ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಂಡ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಕಾಂ ಕಾಂಡೆಯ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಮಂಗಳಂ

ತಾ. 26.1.1929
ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು

ಇದರ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನೆಕ್ಕಿನ ಕಾಂಡಿ ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ನೆ ಕೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕೀಲ್ಕಾಣಿ ವಿಕೆಯ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ನೆ ಕೆ ಕೆ ಲ್ಲಾ ಕಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ







Order of the Mudrai Commissioner in Mysore

Subject:- Appeal (petition no 6 of 98 29) of Venkatappa son of Appajiah and another Venkatappa son of Archak Sreenivasiah archaks of Sri Muttaraya and Hanumantha devaru temples at Honnalagere, Mandya Taluk against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore district directing that the Inam lands of the above temples be leased out by public auction.

Facts of the case

The above temples are endowed with Inam lands of a total extent of 13-37 (a g) assessed at Rs 19-40. All these lands except two S nos (373 & 375) being in the enjoyment of the ryots on condition of paying gutta to the archaks, the latter complained to the Amildar in 1925, that the lessees were not paying gutta regularly and prayed that the lands might be given to their possession subject to the performance of

S No 68	garden	1-17	7-00
138	dry	3-11	3-00
373	"	3-25	3-80
375	"	3-31	4-00
100	"	1-33	1-12
		13-37	19-40

regular worship. The Amildar thereupon reported through the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks that all the land may be left in the possession of the archaks for a period of 3 years commencing from 25-26 subject to the regular performance of pooja in the temples and payment of a sum Rs 10/- annually towards the temple reserve, besides paying the jodi and cesses on the lands.

was approved by the Sub Division Officer in his order dated 18-3-26. The archaks gave a Mutchalika to that effect on 30-3-26 and also bound themselves to do the Nityakatle and Hetchukatle services in the temples regularly. The cultivating ryots wanted the lands to be left in their possession alone, in view of their long enjoyment and if this was not possible they may be sold in auction. They were informed of the Sub Division Officer's order. On a petition of Nempe Gowda and other villagers both to the Sub Division Officer and the Dy Comr praying for the lease of the lands by auction, the Amildar in his ithilla of 17-5-26 reported the circumstances of the case and stated that what was ordered to be given to the possession

of the archaks on a 3 years' lease from 25-26 were given to their possession on 18-4-26 i.e. at the end of 1925-26, that the archaks had paid Rs 10/- for one year on 3-5-26 and the amount had been credited to the treasury and that the prayer of certain ryots to have the lands auctioned was because they were enjoying these lands for a long time and he had been deprived now that moreover the cultivation season was over and that further action may be taken after the expiry of the lease period allowed to the archaks. This was sent up to the Dy Comr by the Sub Division Officer and in his memo of 15-6-26 the Dy Comr approved the Amildars recommendation to lease out the lands after the period of 3 years was over. A scale of income and expenditure for the institution was called for and it was also ordered that contribution at 3% should be recovered from the archaks. On 20th July 1926 a sum of Rs 4-8-0 was recovered from the archaks towards the Mutt Establishment fund and a Mutchalika taken from them

that they would leave the lands on the expiry of the term of 3 years from 26 27. A scale calculation on an income of Rs 150/- was submitted by the Amildar through the Sub Division Officer who recommended its approval. The Dy Comr. ordered that the income should be estimated on the past 5 years' average in consultation with the villagers. The Amildar reported in his No 71 of 25-26 dated 13-6-28 that one party in the village perhaps with a view to have the lands continued in the possession of the archaks intimated by a largely signed petition that the annual yield was between Rs 150/- and Rs 200/- while the other estimated it at Rs 500/- as per Mahakar

S No. 68	1-12	garden	200
100	1-33	dry	50
138	6-11	"	50
373	3-25	"	100
375	3-20	"	100
			500

that there was an offer of Rs 300/- which was from Yelachi - gowdana Linga and recommended that since the Sub Division Officer's order sanctioned the lease to the archaks for a period of 3 years from 25-26, the term had expired apart from the fact that the lands were put in the possession of the archaks at the end of the year 25-26, the lands may be leased out in auction for 5 years from 28-29 and said

that a Scale calculated on the realization and providing for remuneration to the archaks for paditures and pay would be submitted. The Dy Commissioner sanctioned the proposal in his order of 7th July 1928 with instructions to the Amildar to take action immediately as the cultivating season had commenced and to conduct the sale himself informing the archaks and giving them an opportunity to bid and to submit a revised scale commensurate with the realizations at the sale. The Amildar issued a notice on 13-7-28 to the archaks informing them of this and asking them to deliver up the lands without cultivating them. Against this order, the appellants came up in appeal to this office praying that they might not be disturbed since the term of 3 years allowed to them expired only by 8-4-29, as the lands had been delivered to their possession only on 4-4-26 Vide Appane Chit. of that date enclosed, and prayed for a stay of the auction sale of the lands fixed for 23-7-28. The archaks again petitioned on 9-8-28 that the sale fixed for

JAN 1 1928 IN WYBORE

13-8-28 may be ordered to be stayed. The Rg. Comr. was asked to stay the sale as it was a very unsuitable part of the year for selling the lease and informed that the appellants may be allowed in possession pending the result of the appeal on their executing a mutchalika to the effect, that if the appeal is decided against them, they will pay the amount realized in the sale calculated for the present year. With reference to this, the Rg. Comr. while submitting the records states that the amildar who was asked to hold the sale and obtain a mutchalika from the archaks reports that the sale realized an annual gutta of Rs 355/- for a period of 5 years commencing from 29-30, that one of the archaks (Sreenivasiah father of Venkatappa) who was present at the time of the sale refused to sign the sale patti and the other 2 appellants

No. 68	garden	125-00
138	dry	60-00
373	"	75-00
375	"	75-00
100	"	20-00
		3

though sent for him did not turn up, that the existing term of 3 years' lease granted to the archaks expired with the harvest of 1928 as the lands were given to their possession in 1926 and that

they have agreed to give an enhanced reserve amount of Rs 25/- if the lands were continued to them and finally recommends that the lands have fetched an enhanced income to the temple from 29-30 and as the archaks are reported to have raised crops on the land they may continue in possession until the standing crops is removed by them and then hand over possession to the auction purchasers. The archaks are stated to have not executed any mutchalika. The appellants within their counsel were heard

Order No 97-Dis 142 of 28-29 dated 5-1-29.

I do not consider the system of allowing archaks to enjoy Inam lands and pay something to the Institution for repairs quite satisfactory and the archaks in this case have not shown any great zeal for the interests of the Institution. They have enjoyed the lands for some time longer by preferring this appeal I consider it

desirable to sell the lease and the sale is confirmed but it will take effect from the ensuing year.

(Sd) P G Dismitra
Trustee Commissioner

Copy applied for on 4-12-28
Copy ready 9-1-29
Copy delivered 9-1-29
No of words 1276
Copying fees 1-100
Comparing fees 0-66
Copies 0-06
Compared by
[illegible]

Copy

[illegible]
For Trust Comm

JAN 9 1929

Letter No 568/Mus. 270 22 2 dated 7-2-29 from the Finl. Secy. to Govt. to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of a detailed report with records sent in No 1142 from Archak Venkatappa appealing against Nam order directing the leasing out by public auction of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Muthariya and Hanumanthe devaru temples at Hanuslagere in the Mandya Taluk

—

-40 1343/25 9

Memo

Copy of the above together with petition and its enclosures is forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, for the early submission of a report with records —

Sd/ M Chennarai Urs

For Muzrai Commissioner

583
Gl 41,80
P.
28-2-29
A1
Gl.

A1-1275/28-2-29

-1 I H.712/28
Gene 1 file.

Memo

Copy of the above together with its enclosures is forwarded to the Sub Division officer, Mandya for submission of connected records early. This office order dated 23-2-29 communicated in the Petn of certain villagers should be given effect to and a report sent with records —

Refer.

Undersigned to Amildar to give a fact to ~~[illegible]~~ to order of 23-2-29 —
Kl.
6-3-29 —

27.2.29

For Deputy Commissioner

The Mysore District

A1-1275
28.2.29.

[illegible]

[illegible] 23.2.1929 [illegible]

[illegible]

Note
separate order issued to Amilder about giving effect to Dreams order of 23.2.29.
Vkg.

Sub-Inspector
Madya

Memo.

A1-1275
[illegible]

Re-submitted to the Dylom [illegible]. The Commented records have this day been submitted to him separately (with A1-1275/28) with reference to his memo no. D.H. 85-28/20-1-29 calling for submission of proposals for the revision of the scale of the institution in question. The Amilder has been asked to give effect at once to the order of 23.2.29 as mentioned on the reverse. The enclosed petition and its enclosures are herewith returned.

Sd/-

[illegible]

Sub-Inspector
Madya

[illegible] No. 22191
[illegible] 1929

1-3-29.

H. 712/28
11-3-29.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2-D.5

Section Mez

File No. 280-28

Serial No. 2

No. 1568 Encoc file

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The M.S.

Date of issue 9/2/29

Signed by Mr. F.K. Somewara Jy

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favor of a detailed report with records, petition no. 1142 from Andhan Venkatappa appealing against your order directing the leasing out by public auction, of the inam lands endowed to Sri Muthuraya and Hanumanta Devara temples at Honnalgere in the Mandya Taluk.

I have etc

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by (Mu 2-2-29

Examined by .....

WD0325—GPB—80,000—26 5-27

2/2

~~D.P.I. Tail Sect~~

(P.T.O

1569 [illegible]

[illegible] 280-28-3

[illegible]

1142 [illegible]

[illegible]

B. O

[illegible]

Appendix F G -1

SUBJECT.....

Immediate

2 Drafts

Section Muz

File No. 280-28

Serial No. 4.

No 2141 Ene 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 5/4/29

Signed by Mr Tiff Somewarafya

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

To

The M.C.

Sir,

In contn of this office letter no. 1568-Muz.280-28-2 dt 9-2-1929 calling for a report on the petition from Archaka Venkatappa appealing against your order directing the leasing out by public auction, of the inam lands endowed to Sri Muthanaya Swamy and Hanumanta Devaru temples at Honnalgere in

over

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

the Mandya Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith petition no 1502 from the said Archaks together with the original notice Dy 1.3.29 from the Mandya Taluk office giving one month's time to the Archaks to surrender the lands in their possession to the auction purchasers, and to request you to issue immediate orders to the effect that if the petrs are in possession of the temple inam lands, and if they give sufficient security for the highest bid amount now offered, their possession need not be interfered with pending disposal of their appeal by Govt. I am to add that the subm of the report called for on the subject, may be expedited.

I have etc.

5/4 2.1. Sect

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

2D65

Section [illegible]

File No. 280--28

Serial No. 5

No. 2142

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....

Date of issue 5/4/29

Signed by [illegible]

[illegible]

st of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

[illegible]

over

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

[illegible]

[illegible] 1502
1-4-26

27

M. C. 13]

12 APR

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 8th April 1929

No. 1343 En 27 files 28-9

Muz 280-28-6 D 1544

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
~~The~~ Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & DEP. SECRETARIAT MUZRAI

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No.1568/Muz.280-28-2 dated 9th February 1929 forwarding for report with records petition from archak Venkatappa appealing against this office order confirming the sale by public auction of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Mutharaya and Hanumanthadevaru temples at Honnalgere in the Mandya Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the connected records received with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.712/28 dated 25th March 1929 (copy of which is herein enclosed.)

This office file No.D_1 Dis.142 of 28-29 dated 5th January 1929 containing the order appealed against, the preamble of which gives the facts of the case is also enclosed for reference and kind return.

The appeal petition together with its enclosures received with your letter under reference is also herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.712/28 dated 25th March 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Appeal - lease of Inam lands - Sri Mutharaya and Sri Hanumantharaya Devaru temples - Honnalagere - Mandya Taluk.

With reference to your memo No.1343 of 28-9 dated nil forwarding for report the petition of archak Venkatappa appealing against your order directing the leasing out of the Inam lands endowed to the above temples, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire connected records and to state that the facts of the case are set forth in detail in your order No.D_1 Dis.142 of 28-29 dated 5th January 1929.

2. As the auction purchasers complained during Jamabandy that they have paid the bid amount long ago and prayed for possession of the lands as the crops have been removed, the Sub Division Officer, Mandya has been instructed already to hand over possession of the lands to the auction purchasers as per your order under appeal.

3. The proposals for revising the scale of expenditure of the institutic which are included in the records will be scrutinized and sanctioned after the appeal under reference is decided and the records are returned.

4. The appeal petition with its enclosures is retransmitted herewith.

"C o p y"

For Mustafa Commissioner.



4-5 19 1683

M.387

Encoz 280-28-8. Stay order can not be cancelled. If records have been received the case may be posted to some near date for hearing

Mra 3/5/29

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Muzrai Petition No. of 1929-30.

PETITIONERS:- 1. Kempe Gowda. 2. Dase Gowda, 3. Linge Gowda. 4. Kullayya. 5. Lingiah. All residing in Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, Mandya Taluk.

--(VS.)--

OPPONENTS:-- 1. Venkatappa, son of Appajiah.
2. Venkatappa, son of Sreenivasiah.

Archaks of Sri Mutharaya and Hanumantha Devaru Temples, - Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, - Mandya Taluk.

(In the matter of the Inam lands of Sri Mutharaya and Hanumantha Devaru Temples of Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, Mandya Taluk.)

--oOo--

The Petitioners abovenamed most respectfully beg to state as follows:--

1. The Lease of the Inam lands of Sri Mutharaya and Hanumantha Devaru Temples of Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, Mandya Taluk,

was



19 1683

M:387

Encoz 280-28-8. Stay order can not be cancelled. If records have been received the case may be posted to some near date for hearing.

Ma 5/5/29

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Muzrai Petition No. of 1929-30.

PETITIONERS:- 1. Kempe Gowda. 2. Dase Gowda, 3. Linge Gowda. 4. Kullayya. 5. Lingiah. All residing in Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, Mandya Taluk.

--(VS.)--

OPPONENTS:-- 1. Venkatappa, son of Appajiah.
2. Venkatappa, son of Sreenivasiah.

Archaks of Sri Mutharaya and Hanumantha Devaru Temples, - Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, - Mandya Taluk.

(In the matter of the Inam lands of Sri Mutharaya and Hanumantha Devaru Temples of Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, Mandya Taluk.)

--oOo--

The Petitioners abovenamed most respectfully beg to state as follows:--

1. The Lease of the Inam lands of Sri Mutharaya and Hanumantha Devaru Temples of Honnalagere, Maddur Hobli, Mandya Taluk,

was

was sold by public auction in the presence of the Amildar of Mandya on 13-8-1928. The Petitioners, being the highest bidders, obtained the Lease for a period of 5 years on an annual rent of Rs.355/-. On the date of the sale, the Petitioners have deposited in advance in the Taluk Treasury the sum of Rs.355/- being the rent for one year. The new Lease is to commence from the year 1929-30.

2. The Opponents, who were in the village at the time of the sale and who had been sent for by the Amildar, did not turn up, and the father of the 2nd Opponent, who was present at the auction, refused to sign the Sale Patti.

3. Against the sale, the Opponents had preferred an Appeal to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in Appeal Petition No. 4 of 1928-29. But the Muzrai Commissioner, in his Order dated 5th January 1929, has dismissed the Appeal and confirmed the sale.

4. The Opponents have preferred on 24-1-1929 an Appeal to Government against the Order of the Muzrai Commissioner, confirming the sale. On 1-4-1929, the Opponents have also submitted a Petition (No.M.3215/1-4-1929) for Stay of the Execution of the Order of the Muzrai Commissioner, pending disposal of the Appeal.

And the Government has issued an ad interim Order (D.1502/2-4-1929), staying the Execution of the Order of the Muzrai Commissioner and the delivery of possession of the Temple lands to the Petitioners.

5. As soon as the Petitioners came to know of the Opponents' Appeal to Government, they have submitted a petition on 6th - April,1929, praying that they may be given an opportunity to present their case and that the Appeal may be speedily disposed of.

6. The Petitioners have come to know subsequently that an Order staying delivery of possession of the Temple lands to them has been passed by the Government.

7. Under the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation, the Opponents have no manner of right to retain the Temple lands in their possession and enjoyment. The Opponents, who are merely the Archaks of the Temples, are not the Managers or Representatives of the Temples, and cannot, therefore, claim to retain and manage the Temple lands.

8. The Opponents have not been maintaining the Temples in proper condition, and the inhabitants of the villages concerned have many times complained to the Muzrai Authorities.

9. The

9. The Petitioners have obtained the Lease in public auction and deposited the advance of one year's rent (i.e., Rs.355/-). The harvest season is over and the cultivating season has just commenced. If the Stay Order is continued, the Petitioners would be put to serious loss. Though the Opponents had been notified that their Lease would expire after the harvest of 1927-28, and that they should deliver possession of the Temple lands, they have disobeyed the Order of the Muzrai Authorities and have continued to be in possession of the lands.

10. The Petitioners, THEREFORE, humbly pray that the Government may be pleased to cancel the Stay Order and to direct that the Petitioners be immediately put in possession of the lands. And in case the Government is unable - to cancel the Stay Order immediately, the Petitioners further pray that the Opponents' Appeal be disposed of as early as possible.

Bangalore, 3rd May, 1929.

M. A. Govindaswamy Iyengar.
ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONERS.

COURT FEE

TWO RUPEES

In the Court of the Government of Mysore ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ The Maharaja of Mysore at Bangalore

ಸಾ 1929-1930 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ನಂಬರು Miscellaneous petition No

ವಾದಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

Petitioners. ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು

1 Kempegowda 1. Venkatappa

2 Dasegowda VS

3 Lingegowda 2 Venkatappa

4 Kullayya

5 Lingaiah

ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ಅಪ್ಪಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ

M. R. B. L.

ಎಚ್. ವಿ. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ತುನಾಮಾ

1 ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಖೈರುವರೆಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಕರ್ಜರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮತ್ತು ರೀಫಂಡಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವೈಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಇತರ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೇ

2. ಈ ಆಧಾರದಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ತಮಗೇಶ್ವರ ಮತ್ತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾವೇ ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಒದ್ದಾಗಿದೇ ಡಿ.

3 ಈ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಮಗೆ ಫೀಜು ಬಾಕಿ ನಿಂತಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಕೇಸು ನಡೆಸದೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಡಬಹುದೆಂತ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಒಪ್ಪಿರುತ್ತೇ

ತಾರೀಖು 3/5/1929

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೇ

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದವರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

H. V. Rudrappa
Advocate

Advocate, Bangalore City

Alasingar & Co. Press, Mysore.

3/5/29.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

36

No. 164

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
8 MAY 1929
No. 135

Dated, Bangalore,... ... 6th May 1929

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

R 1715

Muz 280-28 9

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

As desired in your letter No.2354/Muz.280-28-7 dated the 26th April 1929, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of lands to Sri Mutharaya and Hanumantha Devaru Temples at Honnalgere, Mandya Taluk.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Superintendent,

DSN.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

Register Sheet No 199

Taluk - - Mandya.

Sammat -- Tippur

Village -- Honnalagere

Register of Inams in the village of Honnalagere in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
			Huzoor Jam account 1844 Krishnaraj K K P	
3	Devadaya	Dry Nos. 29, 95 and 555 wet No 604	0-10-0 0-2-0 0-12-0	

Sammat of Tippur in the Taluk of Mandya

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
P F V Rs. as ps 1-6-0. = 4-10-6. 0-4-0. = 1-2-7 2-0-0 = 5-13-1.		For Hanumantha and Muthanaya's temples in this village as per amit's certificate. The temple of the former leaks and that of the latter is in good order and service rendered to both idols daily.	P F V Rs as ps 1-0-0 = 2-14-7.

	DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of Mysore

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
		H Jody account of 1844. Hanumanthraya and Muthraya. Dry 0-10-0. = 1-6-0. wet. 0-2-0. = 0-4-0. 0-12-0 = 2-0-0. Jodi 1-0-0.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the mam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Honnalgere Hanumanthraya and Muthraya. Manager protem			

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION	
Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
whole grant. allowable To be confirmed on ½ quit-rent 1-7-3 plus Jodi 2-14-7. in all Rupees 4-8-0 Rule V I.T.D. Compulsory. (Sd) Syed Nassurud din. 18.1.79. assistant	1. Confirmed (Sd) R A. Cole Superintendent 7-6-80
Compared 2.5.29.	"Copy" [illegible] For Superintendent of Mysore Revenue Survey.

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9-8-06.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No. 18 of 1928-9

Appellants	Vs.	Respondents.
(1) Venkatappa Son of Appajiah. (2) Venkatappa Son of Sreenivasiah, Archaks.		Kempe Gowda and others.

Subject.

Appeal against the M.ds order directing the leasing out, by public auction of the inam lands end to Sri Mittaraya and Sri Hanumanta Devaru temples at Honnalgere, Mandya Taluk.

Order

The case was heard on 4th June 1929. The apltts. and respdts. were represented thro. their

GPB—1,000—S.S.11

Messrs. Vasudeva Roo and M. A. Gopala Swamy Iyengar.

There are no reasons to interfere with the orders passed by the M. C.

Memo

9790 [illegible] Class files.
[illegible] 21-6-29
Inwz 280 - 28-10

Copy to the M. C. Rating.

The records received with his letter no. 1343 Dt 11. 4. 29.

B. O.

Evg 16/6/29

DOT) [illegible] Secty.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No. 18 of 1928-29.

Appellants	Vs.	Respondents.
(1) Venkatappa son of Appajiah (2) Venkatappa son of Sreeni-vasiah, archaks.		Kempe Gowda and others.

Subject.

Appeal against the Muzrai Commissioner's order directing the leasing out, by public auction of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Muthariya and Sri Hanumantha Devaru temples at Honnalgere, Nandya Taluk.

ORDER.

The case was heard on 4th June 1929. The appellants and respondents were represented through their advocates Messrs. Vasudeva Rao and M.A. Gopalaswamy Iyengar respectively. There are no reasons to interfere with the orders passed by the Muzrai Commissioner.

****

Memo. No. 2790 dated Camp Mysore, the 17th June 1929.
Muz 280-28-10

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzra Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records received with his letter No. 1343 dated 11th April 1929.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

C.R.G.S.

25/6.